

The Vector-Tensor Multiplet in Harmonic Superspace

Norbert Dragon, Sergei M. Kuzenko¹ and Ulrich Theis

*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hannover
Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany*

Abstract

We describe the vector-tensor multiplet and derive its Chern-Simons coupling to the $N = 2$ Yang-Mills gauge superfield in harmonic superspace.

¹Alexander von Humboldt Research Fellow. On leave from Department of Quantum Field Theory, Tomsk State University, Tomsk 634050, Russia.

The $N = 2$ vector-tensor multiplet, which was discovered many years ago by Sohnius, Stelle and West [1] and then forgotten for a while, has recently received much interest [2, 3, 4] due to the fact that it originates in the low-energy effective Lagrangian of $N = 2$ heterotic string vacua. As a representation of $N = 2$ supersymmetry, this multiplet is very similar to the massless $8 + 8$ Fayet-Sohnius hypermultiplet [5, 6] which possesses an off-shell central charge generating the equations of motion.

The vector-tensor multiplet is the only known $N = 2$, $D = 4$ supersymmetric model that has not yet been formulated in the harmonic superspace [7]. Since the harmonic superspace is believed to be a universal framework for $N = 2$ supersymmetric theories, finding a relevant formulation for the vector-tensor multiplet seems to be of principal importance. On the other hand, adequate formulations of the vector-tensor multiplet in an $N = 2$ superspace with central charges have been given in recent papers [8, 9]. Our primary goal in this letter is to show that the main results of Refs. [8, 9] have a natural origin in the harmonic superspace approach.

We start with re-formulating the Sohnius prescription of constructing supersymmetric actions [6] in harmonic superspace. The harmonic central charge superspace [7] extends the $N = 2$ central charge superspace [6], with coordinates $\{x^m, z, \theta_i^\alpha, \bar{\theta}_\alpha^i\}$, $\bar{\theta}_i^\alpha = \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}i}$ (where z is the central charge real variable), by the two-sphere $S^2 = SU(2)/U(1)$ parametrized by harmonics, i.e. group elements

$$\begin{aligned} (u_i^-, u_i^+) &\in SU(2) \\ u_i^+ &= \varepsilon_{ij} u^{+j} \quad \overline{u^{+i}} = u_i^- \quad u^{+i} u_i^- = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The analytic basis of the harmonic superspace defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x_A^m &= x^m - 2i\theta^{(i}\sigma^m\bar{\theta}^{j)}u_i^+u_j^- & z_A &= z + i(\theta^{+\alpha}\theta_\alpha^- - \bar{\theta}_\alpha^+\bar{\theta}^{-\dot{\alpha}}) \\ \theta_\alpha^\pm &= u_i^\pm\theta_\alpha^i & \bar{\theta}_\alpha^\pm &= u_i^\pm\bar{\theta}_\alpha^i \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

is most suitable to the description of analytic superfields $\Phi(\zeta, u)$ which depends only on the variables

$$\zeta^M \equiv \{x_A^m, z_A, \theta^{+\alpha}, \bar{\theta}_\alpha^+\} \quad (3)$$

and harmonics u_i^\pm (the original basis of the harmonic superspace is called central [7]). Below we will mainly work in the analytic basis and omit the corresponding subscript “A”. The explicit expressions for the covariant derivatives $D_\alpha^\pm = D_\alpha^i u_i^\pm$, $\bar{D}_\alpha^\pm = \bar{D}_\alpha^i u_i^\pm$ in the analytic basis can be found in Ref. [7].

The GIKOS rule [7] of constructing $N = 2$ supersymmetric actions

$$\int d\zeta^{(-4)} du \mathcal{L}^{(4)} \quad d\zeta^{(-4)} = d^4 x d^2 \theta^+ d^2 \bar{\theta}^+ \quad (4)$$

involves an analytic superfield $\mathcal{L}^{(4)}(\zeta, u)$ of $U(1)$ -charge +4 which is invariant (up to derivatives) under central charge transformations generated by $\partial_z \equiv \partial/\partial z$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{L}^{(4)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} f^{(4)m} . \quad (5)$$

Here $\mathcal{L}^{(4)}$ is a function of the dynamical superfields, their covariant derivatives and, in general, of the harmonic variables.

In harmonic superspace there exists a prescription to construct invariant actions even for non-vanishing central charges. The construction makes use of a constrained analytic superfield $\mathcal{L}^{++}(\zeta, u)$. \mathcal{L}^{++} is an analytic superfield of $U(1)$ -charge +2

$$D_\alpha^+ \mathcal{L}^{++} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+ \mathcal{L}^{++} = 0 \quad (6)$$

which satisfies the covariant constraint

$$D_c^{++} \mathcal{L}^{++} = 0 . \quad (7)$$

D_c^{++} acts according to

$$D_c^{++} = D^{++} + i(\theta^{+\alpha} \theta_\alpha^+ - \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad D^{++} = u^{+i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{-i}} - 2i \theta^+ \sigma^m \bar{\theta}^+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \quad (8)$$

on analytic superfields. Then the action

$$S = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du ((\theta^+)^2 - (\bar{\theta}^+)^2) \mathcal{L}^{++} \quad (9)$$

is supersymmetric and, hence, invariant under central charge transformations. Under a supersymmetry transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta x^m &= -2i(\epsilon^- \sigma^m \bar{\theta}^+ + \theta^+ \sigma^m \bar{\epsilon}^-) \\ \delta z &= 2i(\epsilon^- \bar{\theta}^+ - \bar{\epsilon}^- \bar{\theta}^+) \\ \delta \theta_\alpha^+ &= \epsilon_\alpha^+ \quad \delta \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+ = \bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+ \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

S changes by

$$\delta S = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du \left\{ ((\theta^+)^2 - (\bar{\theta}^+)^2) \delta z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{L}^{++} - 2(\epsilon^+ \theta^+ - \bar{\epsilon}^+ \bar{\theta}^+) \mathcal{L}^{++} \right\} . \quad (11)$$

Making use of the identity $2(\epsilon^+\theta^+ - \bar{\epsilon}^+\bar{\theta}^+) = -i D^{++}\delta z$ and integrating by parts in (11), one arrives at

$$\delta S = -i \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du \delta z D_c^{++} \mathcal{L}^{++} \quad (12)$$

and this is equal to zero due to (7). The action (9) is real if \mathcal{L}^{++} is imaginary

$$\check{\mathcal{L}}^{++} = -\mathcal{L}^{++} \quad (13)$$

with respect to the analyticity preserving conjugation (smile) $\smile = \bar{}^*$ introduced in [7], where the operation $\bar{}$ denotes the complex conjugation and the operation $*$ is defined by $(u_i^+)^* = u_i^-$, $(u_i^-)^* = -u_i^+$, hence $(u_i^\pm)^{**} = -u_i^\pm$.

Eq. (9) is the formulation of the Sohnius action [6] (see also [10]) in the harmonic superspace. More explicitly, in the central basis the constraint (7) means

$$\mathcal{L}^{++} = \mathcal{L}^{ij}(x, z, \theta) u_i^+ u_j^+ \quad (14)$$

for some u -independent superfields \mathcal{L}^{ij} , and the analyticity conditions (6) take the form

$$D_\alpha^{(i} \mathcal{L}^{jk)} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(i} \mathcal{L}^{jk)} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Since

$$d\zeta^{(-4)} = \frac{1}{16} d^4x D^{-\alpha} D_\alpha^- \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^- \bar{D}^{-\dot{\alpha}} \quad (16)$$

the action (9) turns, upon integrating over S^2 , into

$$S = \frac{1}{12} \int d^4x (D^{\alpha i} D_\alpha^j - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha} j}) \mathcal{L}_{ij}. \quad (17)$$

It is instructive to consider two examples. The $8 + 8$ Fayet-Sohnius off-shell hypermultiplet coupled to the $N = 2$ gauge multiplet is described in the $N = 2$ central charge superspace by a superfield $q_i(x, z, \theta)$ satisfying the constraints [6]

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{(i} q^{j)} = \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(i} q^{j)} = 0 \quad (18)$$

with \mathcal{D}_α^i the gauge covariant derivatives. This is equivalent to the fact that the superfield $q^+ = q_i u^{+i}$ is covariantly analytic

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^+ q^+ = \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+ q^+ = 0 \quad (19)$$

and satisfies the gauge covariant constraint

$$\mathcal{D}_c^{++} q^+ = 0. \quad (20)$$

In the analytic basis \mathcal{D}_c^{++} is

$$\mathcal{D}_c^{++} = \mathcal{D}^{++} + i(\theta^{+\alpha}\theta_\alpha^+ - \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad \mathcal{D}^{++} = D^{++} + iV^{++} \quad (21)$$

where V^{++} is the analytic Yang-Mills gauge prepotential [7]. Therefore, the gauge invariant superfield

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FS}}^{++} = \frac{i}{2}(\check{q}^+\partial_z q^+ - \partial_z \check{q}^+ q^+) + m\check{q}^+ q^+ \quad (22)$$

meets the requirements (6) and (7). Because of (20), the corresponding action can be rewritten in the following form

$$S_{\text{FS}} = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du \{ -\check{q}^+ \mathcal{D}^{++} q^+ + m\check{q}^+ q^+ ((\theta^+)^2 - (\bar{\theta}^+)^2) \} \quad (23)$$

which is very similar to the action functional of the infinite-component q -hypermultiplet [7]. Another non-trivial example is the effective action of the $N = 2$ super Yang-Mills theory [11, 12] (supersymmetry without central charges)

$$S_{\text{SYM}} = \text{tr} \int d^4x d^4\theta \mathcal{F}(W) + \text{tr} \int d^4x d^4\bar{\theta} \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{W}) \quad (24)$$

where W is the covariantly chiral field strength of the $N = 2$ gauge superfield [13]. S_{SYM} can be represented as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{SYM}} &= \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du ((\theta^+)^2 - (\bar{\theta}^+)^2) \mathcal{L}_{\text{SYM}}^{++} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{SYM}}^{++} &= (\mathcal{D}^+)^2 \mathcal{F}(W) - (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^+)^2 \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{W}) . \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

It is obvious that $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SYM}}^{++}$ satisfies the requirements (6) and (7).

A free vector-tensor multiplet can be described in the harmonic superspace by an analytic spinor superfield $\Psi_\alpha^+(\zeta, u)$

$$D_\alpha^+ \Psi_\beta^+ = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+ \Psi_\beta^+ = 0 \quad (26)$$

subject to the constraints

$$D_c^{++} \Psi_\alpha^+ = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$D^{-\alpha} \Psi_\alpha^+ = \bar{D}^{-\dot{\alpha}} \check{\Psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+ \quad (28)$$

with $\check{\Psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+$ the smile-conjugate of Ψ_α^+ . Eq. (27) implies that in the central basis Ψ_α^+ reads

$$\Psi_\alpha^+ = \Psi_{\alpha i}(x, z, \theta) u^{+i} \quad \check{\Psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+ = -\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i(x, z, \theta) u_i^+ \quad (29)$$

for some u -independent superfields $\Psi_{\alpha i}$ and its complex conjugate $\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i$. Then, the analyticity conditions (26) are equivalent to

$$D_{\alpha}^{(i}\Psi_{\beta}^{j)} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(i}\Psi_{\dot{\beta}}^{j)} = 0 \quad (30)$$

and the reality condition (28) takes the form

$$D^{\alpha i}\Psi_{\alpha i} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha} i}\bar{\Psi}^{\dot{\alpha} i}. \quad (31)$$

Eqs. (30) and (31) constitute the constraints defining the field strengths of the free vector-tensor multiplet [8].

Using the anticommutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} \{D_{\alpha}^{+}, D_{\beta}^{-}\} &= 2i \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \partial_z & \{\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{+}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^{-}\} &= 2i \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \partial_z \\ \{D_{\alpha}^{+}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^{-}\} &= -\{D_{\alpha}^{-}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^{+}\} = -2i \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

one immediately deduces from (26) and (28) generalized Dirac equations

$$\partial_z \Psi_{\alpha}^{+} = -\partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \check{\Psi}^{+\dot{\beta}} \quad \partial_z \check{\Psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{+} = \partial_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} \Psi^{+\beta} \quad (33)$$

and hence

$$\partial_z^2 \Psi_{\alpha}^{+} = \square \Psi_{\alpha}^{+}. \quad (34)$$

The last relation can be also obtained from (26) and (27), in complete analogy to the Fayet-Sohnius hypermultiplet. We read eqs. (33) and (34) as a definition of the central charge. If one had not allowed for a central charge then the constraints (26)–(28) would have restricted the multiplet to be on-shell.

The super Lagrangian associated with the vector-tensor multiplet reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vt,free}}^{++} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\Psi^{+\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}^{+} - \check{\Psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{+} \check{\Psi}^{+\dot{\alpha}} \right). \quad (35)$$

Under central charge transformations it changes by derivatives

$$\partial_z \mathcal{L}_{\text{vt,free}}^{++} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \left(\Psi^{+\alpha} \check{\Psi}^{+\dot{\alpha}} \right). \quad (36)$$

The functional

$$S_{\text{vt,free}} = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} du \left((\theta^{+})^2 - (\bar{\theta}^{+})^2 \right) \mathcal{L}_{\text{vt,free}}^{++} \quad (37)$$

can be seen to coincide with the action given in [8]. Another possible structure

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{der,free}}^{++} = -\frac{i}{4} \left(\Psi^{+\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}^{+} + \check{\Psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{+} \check{\Psi}^{+\dot{\alpha}} \right) \quad (38)$$

produces a total derivative when integrated over the superspace.

The constraints (26)–(28) can be partially solved in terms of a real u -independent potential $L(x, z, \theta)$

$$\Psi_\alpha^+ = \mathbf{i} D_\alpha^+ L \quad \bar{L} = L \quad (39)$$

which is still restricted by

$$D_\alpha^+ D_\beta^+ L = D_\alpha^+ \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^+ L = 0. \quad (40)$$

If one includes coupling to the $N = 2$ Yang-Mills gauge superfield, described by the covariantly chiral strength W and its conjugate \bar{W} [13], the constraints (40) can be consistently deformed as follows [9]

$$\mathcal{D}^{+\alpha} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^+ \mathbb{L} = \kappa \operatorname{tr} (\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+ \bar{W} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{+\dot{\alpha}} W) \quad (41)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^+ \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}^+ \mathbb{L} = -\kappa \operatorname{tr} (\mathcal{D}_\alpha^+ W \cdot \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}^+ \bar{W}) \quad (42)$$

where \mathbb{L} is a real u -independent gauge invariant superfield while W is invariant under the central charge. Such a deformation corresponds in particular to the Chern-Simons coupling of the antisymmetric tensor field, contained in the vector-tensor multiplet, to the Yang-Mills gauge field.

The independent components of the vector-tensor multiplet can be chosen as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \mathbb{L} | & D &= \partial_z \mathbb{L} | \\ \psi_\alpha^i &= \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i \mathbb{L} | & \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}i} &= \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \mathbb{L} | \\ G_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{D}_{\alpha i}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^i] \mathbb{L} | & \bar{G}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= -\frac{1}{2} [\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}^i] \mathbb{L} | \\ H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= \bar{H}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i}] \mathbb{L} | \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

while the components of the vector multiplet are

$$\begin{aligned} X &= W | & \bar{X} &= \bar{W} | \\ \lambda_\alpha^i &= \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i W | & \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}i} &= \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \bar{W} | \\ F_{\alpha\beta} &= -\frac{1}{4} [\mathcal{D}_{\alpha i}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^i] W | & \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= \frac{1}{4} [\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}^i] \bar{W} | \\ Y^{ij} &= -\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4} (\mathcal{D}^{\alpha i} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^j W + \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\alpha}j} \bar{W}) | \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

with F_{mn} the field strength associated with the Yang-Mills gauge field A_m . The fields H_m and G_{mn} are subject to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_m H^m &= \kappa \operatorname{tr} \{ F^{mn} \tilde{F}_{mn} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_m (\lambda^i \sigma^m \bar{\lambda}_i) \} \\ \partial_m \tilde{G}^{mn} &= 2\kappa \partial_m \operatorname{tr} \{ (X + \bar{X}) \tilde{F}^{mn} + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{4} \lambda^i \sigma^{mn} \lambda_i + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{4} \bar{\lambda}_i \bar{\sigma}^{mn} \bar{\lambda}^i \} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

which can be solved in terms of an antisymmetric tensor B_{mn} and a vector V_m

$$\begin{aligned} H^m &= \varepsilon^{mnkl} \partial_n B_{kl} + \kappa \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \varepsilon^{mnkl} (A_n F_{kl} - \frac{2}{3} A_n A_k A_l) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^i \sigma^m \bar{\lambda}_i \right\} \\ G_{mn} &= \partial_m V_n - \partial_n V_m + 2\kappa \operatorname{tr} \left\{ (X + \bar{X}) F_{mn} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda^i \sigma_{mn} \lambda_i - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\lambda}_i \bar{\sigma}_{mn} \bar{\lambda}^i \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Because of the constraints (41) and (42), the superfield $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^+ \mathbb{L}$ is no longer analytic. But also the Lagrangians (35) and (38) can be deformed to obtain supersymmetric actions with Chern-Simons interactions. Similarly to Ref. [9], let us introduce the following real superfield

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{L} - \frac{\kappa}{2} \operatorname{tr} (W - \bar{W})^2. \quad (47)$$

Using the Bianchi identities [13, 14]

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^+ W = 0 \quad (\mathcal{D}^+)^2 W = (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^+)^2 \bar{W} \quad (48)$$

one can prove the important identities

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^+ \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\beta^+ \Sigma = 0 \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}^+)^2 \Sigma &= -(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^+)^2 \Sigma \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \left\{ (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^+)^2 \operatorname{tr} (\bar{W}^2) - (\mathcal{D}^+)^2 \operatorname{tr} (W^2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Therefore, the imaginary superfield

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vt}}^{++} = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \mathcal{D}^{+\alpha} \Sigma \mathcal{D}_\alpha^+ \Sigma + \Sigma (\mathcal{D}^+)^2 \Sigma - \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^+ \Sigma \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{+\alpha} \Sigma \right\} \quad (51)$$

satisfies both the constraints (6) and (7), and therefore can be used to construct a supersymmetric action. The corresponding action functional obtained by the rule (9) describes the Chern-Simons coupling of the vector-tensor multiplet to the $N = 2$ gauge multiplet. It was first derived in component approach [4] and then in $N = 2$ superspace [9]. We give only the bosonic part of the component Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{vt}} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial^m \Phi \partial_m \Phi - \frac{1}{2} H^m H_m - \frac{1}{4} G^{mn} G_{mn} + \frac{1}{2} D^2 \\ &\quad + i\kappa G^{mn} \operatorname{tr} \{ (X - \bar{X}) \tilde{F}_{mn} \} - i\kappa H^m \operatorname{tr} \{ (X - \bar{X}) \mathcal{D}_m (X + \bar{X}) \} \\ &\quad - 2\kappa \left(\Phi - \frac{\kappa}{2} \operatorname{tr} (X - \bar{X})^2 \right) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \mathcal{D}^m \bar{X} \mathcal{D}_m X - \frac{1}{2} F^{mn} F_{mn} - \frac{1}{2} Y^{ij} Y_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} [X, \bar{X}]^2 \right\} \\ &\quad + 2\kappa^2 \operatorname{tr} \{ (X - \bar{X}) \mathcal{D}^m \bar{X} \} \operatorname{tr} \{ (X - \bar{X}) \mathcal{D}_m X \} \\ &\quad - \kappa^2 \operatorname{tr} \{ (X - \bar{X}) F^{mn} \} \operatorname{tr} \{ (X - \bar{X}) F_{mn} \} \\ &\quad - \kappa^2 \operatorname{tr} \{ (X - \bar{X}) Y^{ij} \} \operatorname{tr} \{ (X - \bar{X}) Y_{ij} \} + \text{fermionic terms}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Now, we generalize the total derivative Lagrangian (38) (which is an $N = 2$ analog of $\tilde{F}F$ or θ -term). Similar to [9], we introduce the real superfield

$$\Omega = \mathbb{L} + \frac{\kappa}{2} \text{tr} (W + \bar{W})^2 . \quad (53)$$

Its properties read

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^+ \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\beta^+ \Omega = 0 \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}^+)^2 \Omega &= (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^+)^2 \Omega \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \{ (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^+)^2 \text{tr} (\bar{W}^2) + (\mathcal{D}^+)^2 \text{tr} (W^2) \} . \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

As a consequence, the imaginary superfield

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{der}}^{++} = \frac{i}{4} \{ \mathcal{D}^{+\alpha} \Omega \mathcal{D}_\alpha^+ \Omega + \Omega (\mathcal{D}^+)^2 \Omega + \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^+ \Omega \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{+\dot{\alpha}} \Omega \} \quad (56)$$

respects both the constraints (6) and (7) and therefore defines a supersymmetric action.

The Lagrangian (56) is the deformation of (38). It is therefore a deformation of the Chern-Simons form $\tilde{F}F$ which carries topological information. In components, the bosonic Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{der,bos}} &= \partial_m \left[\left(\Phi + \frac{\kappa}{2} \text{tr} (X + \bar{X})^2 \right) (H^m - i\kappa \text{tr} \{ (X + \bar{X}) \mathcal{D}^m (X - \bar{X}) \}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{mnkl} V_n \partial_k V_l \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

and contains total derivative terms only.

In summary, in the present paper we have described the vector-tensor multiplet and its Chern-Simons coupling to the $N = 2$ gauge multiplet in harmonic superspace. It would be of interest to find an unconstrained prepotential superfield formulation for the vector-tensor multiplet, which may exist, similar to the Fayet-Sohnius hypermultiplet, in harmonic superspace only.

After this work had appeared on the hep-th archive we became aware of a recent paper [16] where the authors presented a two-form formulation of the vector-tensor multiplet in central charge superspace and derived its coupling to the non-Abelian supergauge multiplet via the Chern-Simons form. The later paper is a natural development of the research started in [8].

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the RFBR-DFG project No 96-02-00180, the RFBR project No 96-02-16017 and by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

References

- [1] M.F. Sohnius, K.S. Stelle and P. West, Phys. Lett. B92 (1980) 123; Nucl. Phys. B173 (1980) 127.
- [2] B. de Wit, V. Kaplunovsky, J. Louis and D. Lüst, Nucl. Phys. B451 (1995) 53.
- [3] P. Claus, B. de Wit, M. Faux, B. Kleijn, R. Siebelink and P. Termonia, Phys. Lett. B373 (1996) 81.
- [4] P. Claus, B. de Wit, M. Faux and P. Termonia, Nucl. Phys. B491 (1997) 201.
- [5] P. Fayet, Nucl. Phys. B113 (1976) 135; A. Salam and J. Strathdee, Nucl. Phys. B97 (1975) 293.
- [6] M.F. Sohnius, Nucl. Phys. B138 (1978) 109.
- [7] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, Class. Quantum Grav. 1 (1984) 469.
- [8] A. Hindawi, B.A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, Phys. Lett. B392 (1997) 85.
- [9] R. Grimm, M. Hasler and C. Herrmann, *The $N = 2$ vector-tensor multiplet, central charge superspace and Chern-Simons couplings*, hep-th/9706108.
- [10] P.S. Howe, K.S. Stelle and P.K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B191 (1981) 445.
- [11] G. Sierra and P.K. Townsend, in : Supersymmetry and Supergravity 1983, Proc. XIXth Winter School, Karpacz, ed. B. Milewski (World Scientific, 1983), p. 396; B. de Wit, P.G. Lauwers, R. Philippe, S.-Q. Su and A. Van Proyen, Phys. Lett. B134 (1984) 37; S.J. Gates, Nucl. Phys. B238 (1984) 349.
- [12] N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B206 (1988) 75.
- [13] R. Grimm, M. Sohnius and J. Wess, Nucl. Phys. B133 (1978) 275.
- [14] M. Sohnius, Nucl. Phys. B136 (1978) 461.
- [15] E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B86 (1979) 283.
- [16] I. Buchbinder, A. Hindawi and B.A. Ovrut, *A two-form formulation of the vector-tensor multiplet in central charge superspace*, hep-th/9706216.